



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/521,357	01/14/2005	Hong-Jae Lee	GN-32298-US	9303
71433	7590	03/19/2009	EXAMINER	
Jason Y. Pahng and Associates, LLC			LACYK, JOHN P	
12178 Bridgend Run				
Fairfax, VA 22030			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3735	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/19/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jpahng@leemock.com
iplaw@leemock.com
dykim@leemock.com

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/521,357	LEE, HONG-JAE	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	John P. Lacyk	3735	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 December 2008.
- 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ . |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ . |

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Woo (2003/0009077) in view of Kusakabe Yasuji (2000-325488).

Woo teaches a method of modulating the human meridian system using a small bar magnet having a length of 3 cm or less and a coercivity of 1000 gauss or greater, wherein the meridian system is promoted by attaching the small bar magnet to the skin such that the direction of flow of a magnetic force of the magnet is the same as the direction of flow of the meridian system. The method relieves pain by applying a north pole of a magnet that is flat and smooth, directly to the skin of a patient at locations of the principles of Oriental medicine [0005] in order to apply a therapeutic effect to the entire body while improving circulation and energy flow.

When applying multiple magnets for partial treatment of a region or multiple regions together, magnets are placed and spaced apart one another at an equal distance throughout a region or regions and the number of magnets used is determined by the size and total flux of the magnet based on repelling and pulling power. When utilizing the combined method, magnets should be applied concurrently in same way as to produce a balanced treatment effect for all regions involved [0060].

The magnets may have any configuration appropriate to the area to which it is applied, such as square, rectangular, bar or flexible [0064]. The size of the magnet is preferred to be from 1/16"X1/16" to 20"X45" and any magnet within this range of size can affectively be used and the total flux of the magnets used is about 30-250,000 [0084].

Woo teaches the claimed device except for the bar magnets having a direction from the South pole to the North pole in parallel to the surface of the skin. Kusakabe Yasuji discloses a similar device for modulating the human meridian system using small bar magnets and teaches (Figures 1-3) that it is well known to arrange the magnets on the skin of the patient having a direction from the South pole to the North pole in parallel to the surface of the skin. Therefore a modification of Woo such that the magnets are arranged in the direction as taught by Kusakabe Yasuji would have been obvious to one skilled in the art since this is a well known way to arrange the magnets to modulate the human meridian system.

Claims 2-3 and 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Woo in view of Kusakabe Yasuji (2000-325488) and Chen (2002/0169357).

Woo teaches that the magnets may have any configuration appropriate to the area to which it is applied, such as square, rectangular, bar or flexible [0064]. The size of the magnet is preferred to be from 1/16"X1/16" to 20"X45" and any magnet within this range of size can affectively be used.

Art Unit: 3735

Woo however does not disclose that the thickness of the magnets is of 0.5 or 0.3 mm or less.

Chen teaches that acupoints are associated with meridian channels [0002] and that the use of magnets on meridian channels is used to relieve pain [0005]. The magnet can be implanted or placed directly on the acupoints [009] and is preferred to be a strength of 1000-3000 gauss with a variety of shapes and sizes (0.1 X 0.1 cm) with a preferred thickness of 0.1-0.3 cm [0044].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided a method of modulating the human meridian similar to that of Woo with magnets of a thickness less than 0.3 cm similar to that of Chen in order to provide for a therapeutic method of treating the body that comprises the use of magnets that do not occupy too much surface area so that they can supply therapy to each spot on the meridian system

Woo teaches a method of modulating the human meridian system using a small bar magnet having a length of 3 cm or less and a coercivity of 1000 gauss or greater, wherein the meridian system is promoted by attaching the small bar magnet to the skin such that the direction of flow of a magnetic force of the magnet is the same as the direction of flow of the meridian system. The method relieves pain by applying a north pole of a magnet that is flat and smooth, directly to the skin of a patient at locations of

the principles of Oriental medicine [0005] in order to apply a therapeutic effect to the entire body but fails to teach that the magnets can be implanted.

Chen teaches that acupoints are associated with meridian channels [0002] and that the use of magnets on meridian channels is used to relieve pain [0005]. The magnet can be implanted or placed directly on the acupoints [009] and is preferred to be a strength of 1000-3000 gauss with a variety of shapes and sizes (0.1 X 0.1 cm) with a preferred thickness of 0.1-0.3 cm [0044].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have implemented a method of modulating the human meridian system using small bar magnets similar to that of Woo while implanting the magnets of variable thickness on the meridian channels as taught by Chen in order to provide for a therapy that can more closely influence the meridian system while allowing concealment that would not affect everyday activities.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-9 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John P. Lacyk whose telephone number is (571)272-4728. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chuck Marmor, II can be reached on 571-272-4730. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

J.P. Lacyk

/John P Lacyk/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3735